arXiv:1602.08812v1 [math.NA] 29 Feb 2016

GALERKIN FINITE ELEMENT APPROXIMATIONS FOR STOCHASTIC SPACE-TIME FRACTIONAL WAVE EQUATIONS

YAJING LI, YEJUAN WANG, AND WEIHUA DENG

Abstract. The traditional wave equation models wave propagation in an ideal conducting medium. For characterizing the wave propagation in inhomogeneous media with frequency dependent power-law attenuation, the space-time fractional wave equation appears; further incorporating the additive white Gaussian noise coming from many natural sources leads to the stochastic space-time fractional wave equation. This paper discusses the Galerkin finite element approximations for the stochastic space-time fractional wave equation forced by an additive space-time white noise. We firstly discretize the space-time additive noise, which introduces a modeling error and results in a regularized stochastic space-time fractional wave equation; then the regularity of the regularized equation is analyzed. For the discretization in space, the finite element approximation is used and the definition of the discrete fractional Laplacian is introduced. We derive the mean-squared L^2-norm priori estimates for the modeling error and for the approximation error to the solution of the regularized problem; and the numerical experiments are performed to confirm the estimates. For the time-stepping, we calculate the analytically obtained Mittag-Leffler type function.

1. Introduction

In an ideal conducting medium, the propagation of wave is well governed by the classical wave equation. But, most of the time, because of the complex inhomogeneity of the conducting medium, the wave propagation with frequency-dependent attenuation has been observed in a wide range of areas, including acoustics, viscous dampers in seismic isolation of buildings, structural vibration, seismic wave propagation [9, 26, 34]. More often, the attenuated wave propagation exhibits a power-law relation between attenuation and frequency, e.g., acoustical wave propagation in lossy media [34]. The exponent of power-law generally ranges from 0 to 2, which belongs to anomalous attenuation [9], and the competing model for describing the corresponding attenuated wave propagation is the space-time fractional wave equations, in which the fractional derivatives play the role of characterizing the power-law behavior.

Over the last few decades, one of the main reasons that the fractional calculus attracts wide interests is because it can effectively characterize the ubiquitous power-law phenomena. Even in the kingdom of diffusion, the central pillars of anomalous diffusion, including sub-diffusion and super-diffusion, long-range dependence (LRD), $1/f$ noise, and Lévy statistics, have intrinsic power-law structures [12]; and the corresponding fractional diffusion equations have been much better

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 26A33, 65L12, 65L20.

Key words and phrases. Galerkin finite element method, power-law attenuation, stochastic fractional wave equation, fractional Laplacian, additive noise, mean-squared L^2-norm.

investigated than the power law wave equation [5, 10, 16, 17, 32, 37]. For the diffusion-wave equations, there are also some discussions, including analysis, algorithm, and applications; the fundamental solutions and their properties are considered in [1, 24, 25, 30]; and different kinds of numerical methods and approximation schemes have also been developed, e.g., the finite difference method [33, 38], spectral method [4], and finite element method [13, 18], etc.

For the practically physical system, the different stochastic perturbations are coming from many natural sources; sometimes, they can not be ignored and we need to incorporate them to the corresponding deterministic model; and then the stochastic differential equations (SDEs) are produced. In more recent decades, the initial and/or boundary value problems for SDEs have been extensively studied theoretically [8, 15, 20, 23] and numerically [2, 3, 11, 21, 22, 27, 36]. However, it seems that there are less literatures related to the theoretical analysis or numerical approximation of stochastic wave equations with fractional derivative or driven by fractional noise. In [7], the stochastic wave equation forced by a class of fractional noises is explicitly solved and the upper and lower bounds on both the large and small deviations of several sup norms associated with the solution are given. The 1-d stochastic wave equation driven by a fractional Brownian sheet is discussed in [31], and a Young integration theory is developed. This paper is considering the stochastic space-time fractional wave equation driven by an additive space-time white noise; to the best of our knowledge, so far there are no research results on the effective numerical schemes of this kind of equation. For filling this gap, we provide the finite element approximation for the following initial boundary value problem with $1 < \alpha < 2$ and $\frac{1}{2} < \beta \leq 1$:

$$
\begin{cases}
\partial_t^\alpha u(t,x) + (-\Delta)^\beta u(t,x) = \dfrac{\partial^2 W(t,x)}{\partial t \partial x}, \quad 0 < t < T,\ 0 < x < 1, \\
u(t,0) = u(t,1) = 0, \quad 0 < t < T, \\
u(0,x) = v_1(x),\ \partial_t u(0,x) = v_2(x), \quad 0 < x < 1,
\end{cases} \tag{1.1}
$$

where ∂_t^α denotes the left-sided Caputo fractional derivative of order α with respect to t; $(-\Delta)^\beta$ is the fractional Laplacian, the definition of which is based on the spectral decomposition of the Dirichlet Laplacian, as adopted in [28]; and $W(t,x)$ represents an infinite dimensional Brownian motion. It is worth noting that if $\beta = 1$, then $(-\Delta)^\beta$ will reduce to the Laplace operator, which has been studied in [18] for the corresponding deterministic case. Moreover, when $\alpha = \beta = 1$ in (1.1), error estimates of solutions have been obtained in [11]. Obviously, this is an interesting and important generalization of Galerkin finite element methods for the stochastic parabolic equations with a classical derivative in [11]. As we know, one of the main difficulties in using the Galerkin finite element method to solve the variational formulation of (1.1) is how to discretize the fractional Laplacian. For this, motivated by the definition of the discrete Laplace operator in [17], we firstly propose the definition of the discrete fractional Laplacian in this paper.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we first introduce some basic definitions, notations and necessary preliminaries, then prove the stability of the equation and discretize the space-time additive noise, which introduces a modeling error and results in a regularized stochastic space-time fractional wave equation; and the convergence orders of the modeling error are well established, which has

a transition point $\alpha = \frac{3}{2}$, i.e., the convergence order is $\alpha - \frac{1}{2} - \epsilon$ for $\alpha \in (1, \frac{3}{2}]$ and 1 for $\alpha \in (\frac{3}{2}, 2]$, where α is the order of the time fractional derivative and ϵ can be any sufficiently small positive constant. In Section 3, the regularity of the regularized stochastic space-time fractional wave equation is discussed; the finite element scheme is presented and the corresponding error estimates are detailedly derived. In Section 4, the numerical experiments are performed to confirm the convergence orders of the modeling error and finite element approximations to the regularized equation. We conclude the paper with some remarks in the last section.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we collect useful facts on the Mittag-Leffler function, present a representation of the solution of problem (1.1), give the stability results of the solution and consider the approximation of noise.

2.1. **Mittag-Leffler function.** We shall frequently use the Mittag-Leffler function $E_{\alpha,\beta}(z)$ defined as follows:

$$E_{\alpha,\beta}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{\Gamma(k\alpha + \beta)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

The Mittag-Leffler function $E_{\alpha,\beta}(z)$ is a two-parameter family of entire functions in z of order α^{-1} and type 1 [19, p. 42]. It generalizes the exponential function in the sense that $E_{1,1}(z) = e^z$. For later use, we collect some results in the next lemma; see [19, 29].

Lemma 2.1. *Let $0 < \alpha < 2$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ be arbitrary. We suppose that μ is an arbitrary real number such that $\frac{\pi\alpha}{2} < \mu < \min(\pi, \pi\alpha)$. Then there exists a constant $C = C(\alpha, \beta, \mu) > 0$ such that*

$$|E_{\alpha,\beta}(z)| \le \frac{C}{1 + |z|}, \qquad \mu \le |\arg(z)| \le \pi. \tag{2.1}$$

Moreover, for $\lambda > 0$, $\alpha > 0$ and positive integer $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\frac{d^m}{dt^m} E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda^\beta t^\alpha) = -\lambda^\beta t^{\alpha-m} E_{\alpha,\alpha-m+1}(-\lambda^\beta t^\alpha), \quad t > 0, \tag{2.2}$$

and

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(tE_{\alpha,2}(-\lambda^\beta t^\alpha)\right) = E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda^\beta t^\alpha), \quad t \ge 0. \tag{2.3}$$

2.2. **Solution representation.** To discuss the stability of solutions and the approximation of noise, we first need some notations, and some basic definitions for the Caputo fractional derivative and fractional Laplacian. Let $H = L^2(0,1)$ with $\|\cdot\|$ to denote the norm in H and $(\cdot,\cdot)$ to denote the inner product of H. It is well known that the Laplacian $-\Delta = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}$ has eigenpairs (λ_k, e_k) with $\lambda_k = k^2\pi^2$, $e_k = \sqrt{2}\sin k\pi x$, $k = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$ subject to the homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions on $(0,1)$, i.e., $e_k(0) = e_k(1) = 0$, and $-\Delta e_k = \lambda_k e_k, k = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$. The set $\{e_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ forms an orthonormal basis in H. For $q \ge 0$, we denote by $\dot{H}^q \subset H$ the Hilbert space induced by the norm

$$|u|_q^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k^q (u, e_k)^2.$$

Then, for any $u \in \dot{H}^{2\beta}$, we have

$$(-\Delta)^{\beta} u = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k^{\beta} (u, e_k) e_k,$$

which is the fractional Laplacian we consider in this work.

The definitions of the fractional integral and left-sided Caputo fractional derivative of order α with respect to t can be found in [19, p. 91] or [29, p. 78].

Definition 2.2. The fractional integral of order $\alpha > 0$ with the lower limit 0 for a function u is defined as

$$I_t^{\alpha} u(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} u(s) ds, \quad t > 0,$$

where $\Gamma(\cdot)$ is the gamma function.

Definition 2.3. The Caputo fractional derivative of order $\alpha > 0$ with the lower limit 0 for a function u is defined as

$$\partial_t^{\alpha} u(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{n-\alpha-1} \frac{\partial^n u(s)}{\partial s^n} ds, \quad t > 0, \quad 0 \le n-1 < \alpha < n,$$

where the function $u(t)$ has absolutely continuous derivatives up to order $n-1$.

If u is an abstract function with values in $\dot{H}^q$ ($q \in \mathbb{R}$), then the integrals which appears in the above definitions are taken in Bochner's sense. A measurable function $u : [0, \infty) \to \dot{H}^q$ is Bochner integrable if $|u|_q$ is Lebesgue integrable.

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \ge 0}, P)$ be a complete filtered probability space satisfying that $\mathcal{F}_0$ contains all P-null sets of $\mathcal{F}$. Suppose that $\frac{\partial^2 W(t,x)}{\partial t \partial x}$ is an infinite dimensional noise defined on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \ge 0}, P)$ such that

$$\frac{\partial^2 W(t,x)}{\partial t \partial x} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sigma_k(t) \dot{\xi}_k(t) e_k(x), \tag{2.4}$$

where $\sigma_k(t)$ is a continuous function, and $\dot{\xi}_k(t) = \frac{d\xi_k(t)}{dt}$, $k = 1, 2, \ldots$ is the derivative of the standard Wiener process $\xi_k(t)$, $k = 1, 2, \ldots$.

We consider the stochastic space-time fractional wave equations with $1 < \alpha < 2$ and $\frac{1}{2} < \beta \le 1$, as stated in the introduction section,

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^{\alpha} u(t,x) + (-\Delta)^{\beta} u(t,x) = \dfrac{\partial^2 W(t,x)}{\partial t \partial x}, \quad 0 < t < T, \ 0 < x < 1, \\ \\ u(t,0) = u(t,1) = 0, \quad 0 < t < T, \\ \\ u(0,x) = v_1(x), \ \partial_t u(0,x) = v_2(x), \quad 0 < x < 1, \end{cases} \tag{2.5}$$

where $T > 0$ is a fixed time, ∂_t^{α} denotes the left-sided Caputo fractional derivative of order α with respect to t and $(-\Delta)^{\beta}$ is the fractional Laplacian, as described above, whose definition is adopted as in [28].

Now we define a partition of $[0, T]$ by intervals $[t_i, t_{i+1}]$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, I$, where $t_i = (i-1)\Delta t$, $\Delta t = T/I$. A sequence of noise which approximates the space-time white noise is defined as [11]

$$\frac{\partial^2 W_n(t,x)}{\partial t \partial x} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sigma_k^n(t) e_k(x) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{I} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Delta t}} \xi_{ki} \chi_i(t) \right), \tag{2.6}$$

where $\chi_i(t)$ is the characteristic function for the ith time subinterval,

$$\xi_{ki} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Delta t}} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} d\xi_k(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Delta t}} (\xi_k(t_{i+1}) - \xi_k(t_i)) \sim N(0,1),$$

and $\sigma_k^n(t)$ is the approximation of $\sigma_k(t)$ in the space direction. More precisely, replacing $\sigma_k(t)$ by $\sigma_k^n(t)$, we get the noise approximation in space; and replacing $\dot{\xi}_k(t)$ by $\sum_{i=1}^I \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Delta t}} \xi_{ki} \chi_i(t)$, we get the noise approximation in time. Then $\frac{\partial^2 W_n(t,x)}{\partial t \partial x}$ is substituted for $\frac{\partial^2 W(t,x)}{\partial t \partial x}$ in (2.5) to get the following equation:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^\alpha u_n(t,x) + (-\Delta)^\beta u_n(t,x) = \dfrac{\partial^2 W_n(t,x)}{\partial t \partial x}, \quad 0 < t < T,\ 0 < x < 1, \\ \\ u_n(t,0) = u_n(t,1) = 0, \quad 0 < t < T, \\ \\ u_n(0,x) = v_1(x),\ \partial_t u_n(0,x) = v_2(x), \quad 0 < x < 1. \end{cases} \tag{2.7}$$

We define $L^2(\Omega; \dot{H}^q)$ as the separable Hilbert space of all strongly-measurable, square-integrable random variables x, with values in $\dot{H}^q$, such that

$$\|x\|^2_{L^2(\Omega;\dot{H}^q)} = \mathbf{E}|x|_q^2,$$

where $\mathbf{E}$ denotes the expectation.

The following lemma shows a representation of the mild solution to problem (2.5) using the Dirichlet eigenpairs $\{(\lambda_k, e_k)\}$.

Lemma 2.4. *The solution u to problem (2.5) with $1 < \alpha < 2$ and $\frac{1}{2} < \beta \le 1$ is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} u(t,x) = &\int_0^1 \mathcal{T}_{\alpha,\beta}(t,x,y) v_1(y) dy + \int_0^1 \mathcal{R}_{\alpha,\beta}(t,x,y) v_2(y) dy \\ &+ \int_0^t \int_0^1 \mathcal{S}_{\alpha,\beta}(t-s,x,y) dW(s,y). \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

Here

$$\mathcal{T}_{\alpha,\beta}(t,x,y) = \sum_{k=1}^\infty E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_k^\beta t^\alpha) e_k(x) e_k(y) \tag{2.9}$$

is the fundamental solution of

$$\partial_t^\alpha v(t,x) + (-\Delta)^\beta v(t,x) = 0, \quad v(t,0) = v(t,1) = 0,\ v(0,x) = \phi(x),\ \partial_t v(0,x) = 0,$$

so that $v(t,x) = \int_0^1 \mathcal{T}_{\alpha,\beta}(t,x,y)\phi(y)dy$, and

$$\mathcal{R}_{\alpha,\beta}(t,x,y) = \sum_{k=1}^\infty t E_{\alpha,2}(-\lambda_k^\beta t^\alpha) e_k(x) e_k(y) \tag{2.10}$$

is the fundamental solution of

$$\partial_t^\alpha v(t,x) + (-\Delta)^\beta v(t,x) = 0, \quad v(t,0) = v(t,1) = 0,\ v(0,x) = 0,\ \partial_t v(0,x) = \psi(x),$$

so that $v(t,x) = \int_0^1 \mathcal{R}_{\alpha,\beta}(t,x,y)\psi(y)dy$. For the equation (2.5) but with initial data $v(0,x) = \partial_t v(0,x) \equiv 0$, we shall use the operator defined by

$$\mathcal{S}_{\alpha,\beta}(t,x,y) = \sum_{k=1}^\infty t^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_k^\beta t^\alpha) e_k(x) e_k(y), \tag{2.11}$$

and $v(t,x)=\int_0^t\int_0^1 \mathcal{S}_{\alpha,\beta}(t-s,x,y)dW(s,y)$.

The proof of Lemma 2.4 is given in the Appendix.

Similarly, the integral formulation of (2.7) is

$$\begin{aligned} u_n(t,x) &= \int_0^1 \mathcal{T}_{\alpha,\beta}(t,x,y)v_1(y)dy + \int_0^1 \mathcal{R}_{\alpha,\beta}(t,x,y)v_2(y)dy \\ &\quad + \int_0^t\int_0^1 \mathcal{S}_{\alpha,\beta}(t-s,x,y)dW_n(s,y). \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

2.3. **Stability and approximation of the noise.** In this subsection and in the sequel, the symbol C will denote a generic constant, whose value may change from one line to another.

First, we give the following stability estimates of the homogeneous problem which will play a key role in the error analysis of the finite element method (FEM) approximations.

Lemma 2.5. *The solution $u(t)$ to the homogeneous problem of (2.5) satisfies for $t>0$*

$$|u(t)|_p \le \begin{cases} Ct^{\frac{\alpha(q-p)}{2\beta}}|v_1|_q + Ct^{1-\frac{\alpha(p-r)}{2\beta}}|v_2|_r, & 0\le q,r\le p\le 2\beta, \\ Ct^{-\alpha}|v_1|_q + Ct^{1-\alpha}|v_2|_r, & q,r>p, \end{cases} \tag{2.13}$$

and

$$|\partial_t^\alpha u(t)|_p \le Ct^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}(2+\frac{p-q}{\beta})}|v_1|_q + Ct^{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}(2+\frac{p-r}{\beta})}|v_2|_r,\ 0\le p\le q, r\le p+2\beta.$$

The proof of Lemma 2.5 is given in the Appendix.

Under assumptions on $\{\sigma_k(t)\}$ and $\{\sigma_k^n(t)\}$, our first main result shows that the solution u_n of (2.7) indeed approximates u, the solution of (2.5).

Theorem 2.6. *Assume that $\{\sigma_k(t)\}$ and its derivative are uniformly bounded by*

$$|\sigma_k(t)|\le\mu_k,\ |\sigma_k'(t)|\le\gamma_k \quad \forall t\in[0,T],$$

and that the coefficients $\{\sigma_k^n(t)\}$ are constructed such that

$$|\sigma_k(t)-\sigma_k^n(t)|\le\eta_k^n,\ |\sigma_k^n(t)|\le\mu_k^n,\ |(\sigma_k^n)'(t)|\le\gamma_k^n \quad \forall t\in[0,T]$$

with positive sequences $\{\eta_k^n\}$ being arbitrarily chosen, $\{\mu_k^n\}$ and $\{\gamma_k^n\}$ being related to $\{\eta_k^n\mu_k\}$ and $\{\gamma_k\}$. Let u_n and u be the solutions of (2.7) and (2.5), respectively. Then for some constant $C>0$ independent of Δt, any $0<\varepsilon<\frac12$ and $1<\alpha\le\frac32$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}\|u(t)-u_n(t)\|^2 &\le C\sum_{k=1}^\infty \lambda_k^{-\frac{2\beta(\alpha-1)}{\alpha}}(\eta_k^n)^2 + C(\Delta t)^2\sum_{k=1}^\infty \lambda_k^{-\frac{2\beta(\alpha-1)}{\alpha}}(\gamma_k^n)^2 \\ &\quad + Ct^{2\varepsilon}(\Delta t)^{2\alpha-1-2\varepsilon}\sum_{k=1}^\infty(\mu_k^n)^2, \quad t>0, \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

and for $\frac32<\alpha<2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}\|u(t)-u_n(t)\|^2 &\le C\sum_{k=1}^\infty \lambda_k^{-\frac{2\beta(\alpha-1)}{\alpha}}(\eta_k^n)^2 + C(\Delta t)^2\sum_{k=1}^\infty \lambda_k^{-\frac{2\beta(\alpha-1)}{\alpha}}(\gamma_k^n)^2 \\ &\quad + Ct^{2\alpha-3}(\Delta t)^2\sum_{k=1}^\infty(\mu_k^n)^2, \quad t>0, \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

provided that the infinite series are all convergent.

The detailed proof of Theorem 2.6 is given in the Appendix.

3. Galerkin Finite Element Approximation

In this section, we provide the Galerkin FEM scheme and derive the corresponding error estimates.

3.1. **Spatially standard Galerkin FEM and its properties.** For the sake of simplicity, we consider the same partition of $[0,1]$: $0 = x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_{N+2} = 1$ with $x_j = (j-1)h$ and $h = 1/(N+1)$. Let V_h be the finite element subspace (with the order of the piecewise polynomial bigger or equal to 1) of $\dot{H}^\beta(0,1)$.

On the space V_h we define the orthogonal L_2-projection $P_h : H \to V_h$ and the generalized Ritz projection $R_h : \dot{H}^\beta \to V_h$, respectively, by

$$(P_h\chi, v) = (\chi, v) \quad \forall v \in V_h,$$

and

$$\left((-\Delta)^{\frac{\beta}{2}} R_h\chi, (-\Delta)^{\frac{\beta}{2}} v\right) = \left((-\Delta)^{\frac{\beta}{2}}\chi, (-\Delta)^{\frac{\beta}{2}} v\right) \quad \forall v \in V_h.$$

Since $\dot{H}^q$ is equivalent to the fractional Sobolev space H_0^q for $q \in [0,1]$ [35], we have the following error estimates for $P_h\psi$ and $R_h\psi$.

Lemma 3.1. *The operators P_h and R_h satisfy*

$$\|P_h\psi - \psi\| + h^\beta \|(-\Delta)^{\frac{\beta}{2}}(P_h\psi - \psi)\| \le Ch^q|\psi|_q \quad \text{for} \quad \psi \in \dot{H}^q,\ q \in [\beta, 2\beta], \tag{3.1}$$

and

$$\|R_h\psi - \psi\| + h^\beta \|(-\Delta)^{\frac{\beta}{2}}(R_h\psi - \psi)\| \le Ch^q|\psi|_q \quad \text{for} \quad \psi \in \dot{H}^q,\ q \in [\beta, 2\beta]. \tag{3.2}$$

Upon introducing the discrete fractional Laplacian $(-\Delta_h)^\beta : V_h \to V_h$ defined by

$$((-\Delta_h)^\beta\psi, \chi) = ((-\Delta)^{\frac{\beta}{2}}\psi, (-\Delta)^{\frac{\beta}{2}}\chi) \quad \forall \psi, \chi \in V_h, \tag{3.3}$$

we can write the spatial FEM approximation of (2.7) as

$$\partial_t^\alpha u_n^h(t) + (-\Delta_h)^\beta u_n^h(t) = P_h \frac{\partial^2 W_n(t,x)}{\partial t \partial x}, \quad 0 < t \le T, \text{ with } u_n^h(0) = v_1^h,\ \partial_t u_n^h(0) = v_2^h, \tag{3.4}$$

where $v_1^h = P_h v_1$, $v_2^h = P_h v_2$ or $v_2^h = R_h v_2$.

Now we give a representation of the solution of (3.4) using the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions $\{\lambda_k^{h,\beta}\}_{k=1}^N$ and $\{e_k^h\}_{k=1}^N$ of the discrete fractional Laplacian $(-\Delta_h)^\beta$. As we know that the operator $(-\Delta_h)^\beta$ is symmetrical, therefore $\{e_k^h\}_{k=1}^N$ is orthogonal. Take $\{e_k^h\}_{k=1}^N$ as the orthonormal bases in V_h and define the discrete analogues of (2.9)-(2.11) by

$$\mathcal{T}_{\alpha,\beta}^h(t,x,y) = \sum_{k=1}^N E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_k^{h,\beta} t^\alpha) e_k^h(x) e_k^h(y), \tag{3.5}$$

$$\mathcal{R}_{\alpha,\beta}^h(t,x,y) = \sum_{k=1}^N t E_{\alpha,2}(-\lambda_k^{h,\beta} t^\alpha) e_k^h(x) e_k^h(y), \tag{3.6}$$

and

$$\mathcal{S}^h_{\alpha,\beta}(t,x,y)=\sum_{k=1}^{N}t^{\alpha-1}E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_k^{h,\beta}t^\alpha)e_k^h(x)e_k^h(y). \tag{3.7}$$

Then the solution u_n^h of the discrete problem (3.4) can be expressed by

$$\begin{aligned}u_n^h(t,x)=&\int_0^1\mathcal{T}^h_{\alpha,\beta}(t,x,y)v_1^h(y)dy+\int_0^1\mathcal{R}^h_{\alpha,\beta}(t,x,y)v_2^h(y)dy\\&+\int_0^t\int_0^1\mathcal{S}^h_{\alpha,\beta}(t-s,x,y)P_hdW_n(s,y).\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Also, on the finite element space V_h, we introduce the discrete norm $|\cdot|_{p,h}$ for any $p\in\mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$|\psi|_{p,h}^2=\sum_{k=1}^{N}(\lambda_k^{h,\beta})^{\frac{p}{\beta}}(\psi,e_k^h)^2,\quad \psi\in V_h. \tag{3.9}$$

Clearly, the norm $|\cdot|_{p,h}$ is well defined for all real p. By the very definition of the discrete fractional Laplacian $(-\Delta_h)^\beta$ we have $|\psi|_{p,h}=|\psi|_p$ for $p=0,\beta$ and $\forall\psi\in V_h$. So there is no confusion in using $|\psi|_p$ instead of $|\psi|_{p,h}$ for $p=0,\beta$ and $\forall\psi\in V_h$. Further, we need the following inverse inequality.

Lemma 3.2. *For any $l>s$, there exists a constant C independent of h such that*

$$|\chi|_{l,h}\le Ch^{s-l}|\chi|_{s,h}\quad\forall\chi\in V_h. \tag{3.10}$$

Proof. For all $\chi\in V_h$, the inverse inequality $|\chi|_\beta\le Ch^{-\beta}\|\chi\|$ holds [6, Lemma 4.5.3]. By the definition of $(-\Delta_h)^\beta$, there exists $\max_{1\le j\le N}\lambda_j^{h,\beta}\le Ch^{-2\beta}$. Thus, for the norm $|\cdot|_{p,h}$ defined in (3.9), there holds for any real $l>s$,

$$|\chi|_{l,h}^2\le C\max_{1\le j\le N}(\lambda_j^{h,\beta})^{\frac{l-s}{\beta}}\sum_{j=1}^{N}(\lambda_j^{h,\beta})^{\frac{s}{\beta}}(\chi,e_k^h)^2\le Ch^{2(s-l)}|\chi|_{s,h}^2.\qquad\square$$

The following estimates are crucial for the error analysis in what follows.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\mathcal{T}^h_{\alpha,\beta}(t,x,y)$ be defined by (3.5) and $v_1^h\in V_h$. Then for all $t>0$,*

$$\left|\int_0^1\mathcal{T}^h_{\alpha,\beta}(t,x,y)v_1^h(y)dy\right|_{p,h}\le\begin{cases}Ct^{\frac{\alpha(q-p)}{2\beta}}|v_1^h|_{q,h}, & 0\le q\le p\le 2\beta,\\ Ct^{-\alpha}|v_1^h|_{q,h}, & q>p.\end{cases}$$

Lemma 3.4. *Let $\mathcal{R}^h_{\alpha,\beta}(t,x,y)$ be defined by (3.6) and $v_2^h\in V_h$. Then for all $t>0$,*

$$\left|\int_0^1\mathcal{R}^h_{\alpha,\beta}(t,x,y)v_2^h(y)dy\right|_{p,h}\le\begin{cases}Ct^{1-\frac{\alpha(p-q)}{2\beta}}|v_2^h|_{q,h}, & 0\le q\le p\le 2\beta,\\ Ct^{1-\alpha}|v_2^h|_{q,h}, & q>p.\end{cases}$$

The proofs of Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4 are discrete analogues of those formulated in (2.13), so here we omit them.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $\mathcal{S}^h_{\alpha,\beta}(t,x,y)$ be defined by (3.7) and $\psi\in V_h$. Then for all $t>0$,*

$$\left|\int_0^1\mathcal{S}^h_{\alpha,\beta}(t,x,y)\psi(y)dy\right|_{p,h}\le\begin{cases}Ct^{-1+\alpha+\frac{\alpha(q-p)}{2\beta}}|\psi|_{q,h}, & p-2\beta\le q\le p,\\ Ct^{-1}|\psi|_{q,h}, & q>p.\end{cases}$$

The proof of Lemma 3.5 is given in the Appendix.

3.2. **Regularity of the solution of** (2.7)**.** We have the following theorem for the regularity of the solution of (2.7).

Theorem 3.6. *Let u_n be the solution of* (2.7)*. Assume that $\{\sigma_k^n(t)\}$ are uniformly bounded by $|\sigma_k^n(t)| \le \mu_k^n \ \forall t \in [0,T]$. Further assume that $v_1 \in L^2(\Omega;\dot{H}^q)$, $v_2 \in L^2(\Omega;\dot{H}^r)$, $q,r \in [0,2\beta]$. Then for some constant $C>0$ independent of Δt, we have for all $t>0$,*

(3.11)
$$\mathbf{E}|u_n(t)|_{2\beta}^2 \le Ct^{\frac{\alpha(q-2\beta)}{\beta}}\mathbf{E}|v_1|_q^2 + Ct^{2-\frac{\alpha(2\beta-r)}{\beta}}\mathbf{E}|v_2|_r^2 + Ct^{\alpha}\frac{1}{\Delta t}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\lambda_k^{\frac{\beta(\alpha+1)}{\alpha}}(\mu_k^n)^2,$$

and

(3.12)
$$\mathbf{E}\|\partial_t^{\alpha}u_n(t)\|^2 \le Ct^{\frac{\alpha(q-2\beta)}{\beta}}\mathbf{E}|v_1|_q^2 + Ct^{2-\frac{\alpha(2\beta-r)}{\beta}}\mathbf{E}|v_2|_r^2 + Ct^{\alpha}\frac{1}{\Delta t}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\lambda_k^{\frac{\beta(\alpha+1)}{\alpha}}(\mu_k^n)^2 + C\frac{1}{\Delta t}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(\mu_k^n)^2,$$

provided that the infinite series are all convergent.

Proof. It follows from Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5 that

(3.13)
$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{E}|u_n(t)|_{2\beta}^2 &\le C\mathbf{E}\left|\int_0^1 \mathcal{T}_{\alpha,\beta}(t,x,y)v_1(y)dy\right|_{2\beta}^2 + C\mathbf{E}\left|\int_0^1 \mathcal{R}_{\alpha,\beta}(t,x,y)v_2(y)dy\right|_{2\beta}^2\\ &\quad + C\mathbf{E}\left|\int_0^t\int_0^1 \mathcal{S}_{\alpha,\beta}(t-s,x,y)dW_n(s,y)\right|_{2\beta}^2\\ &\le Ct^{\frac{\alpha(q-2\beta)}{\beta}}\mathbf{E}|v_1|_q^2 + Ct^{2-\frac{\alpha(2\beta-r)}{\beta}}\mathbf{E}|v_2|_r^2\\ &\quad + C\mathbf{E}\left|\int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\int_0^1 E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_k^{\beta}(t-s)^{\alpha})e_k(y)e_k(x)dW_n(s,y)\right|_{2\beta}^2.\end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\mathcal{F}_1 = C\mathbf{E}\left|\int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\int_0^1 E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_k^{\beta}(t-s)^{\alpha})e_k(y)e_k(x)dW_n(s,y)\right|_{2\beta}^2.$$

Without loss of generality, we assume that there exists a positive integer I_t such that $t = t_{I_t+1}$. Since $\{e_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is an orthonormal basis in $L^2(0,1)$, then by Hölder's

inequality and the boundedness assumption on $\sigma_k^n(t)$, we have

(3.14)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}_1 &= C\mathbf{E}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\lambda_k^{2\beta}\left(\int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1}\sum_{l=1}^{\infty}\int_0^1 E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_l^{\beta}(t-s)^{\alpha})e_l(y)e_l(x)dW_n(s,y),e_k\right)^2\\
&= C\mathbf{E}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\lambda_k^{2\beta}\left(\int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1}\int_0^1 E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_k^{\beta}(t-s)^{\alpha})e_k(y)dW_n(s,y)\right)^2\\
&= C\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\lambda_k^{2\beta}\mathbf{E}\Bigg(\int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1}\int_0^1 E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_k^{\beta}(t-s)^{\alpha})e_k(y)\\
&\qquad\qquad \times\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\sigma_j^n(s)e_j(y)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{I_t}\frac{1}{\sqrt{\Delta t}}\xi_{ji}\chi_i(s)\right)dyds\Bigg)^2\\
&= C\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\lambda_k^{2\beta}\mathbf{E}\left(\int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1}E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_k^{\beta}(t-s)^{\alpha})\sigma_k^n(s)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{I_t}\frac{1}{\sqrt{\Delta t}}\xi_{ki}\chi_i(s)\right)ds\right)^2\\
&\le C\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\lambda_k^{2\beta}\int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1}ds\mathbf{E}\int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1}\Bigg(E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_k^{\beta}(t-s)^{\alpha})\\
&\qquad\qquad \times\sigma_k^n(s)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{I_t}\frac{1}{\sqrt{\Delta t}}\xi_{ki}\chi_i(s)\right)\Bigg)^2 ds\\
&= C\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\lambda_k^{2\beta}t^{\alpha}\Bigg(\sum_{l=1}^{I_t}\frac{1}{(\Delta t)^2}\int_{t_l}^{t_{l+1}}(t-s)^{\alpha-1}E_{\alpha,\alpha}^2(-\lambda_k^{\beta}(t-s)^{\alpha})\\
&\qquad\qquad \times(\sigma_k^n(s))^2\mathbf{E}\left(\xi_k(t_{l+1})-\xi_k(t_l)\right)^2 ds\Bigg)\\
&\le Ct^{\alpha}\frac{1}{\Delta t}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\lambda_k^{2\beta}(\mu_k^n)^2\int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1}E_{\alpha,\alpha}^2(-\lambda_k^{\beta}(t-s)^{\alpha})ds\\
&\le Ct^{\alpha}\frac{1}{\Delta t}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\lambda_k^{2\beta}(\mu_k^n)^2\lambda_k^{-\frac{\beta(\alpha-1)}{\alpha}}\int_0^t\left|\frac{(\lambda_k^{\beta}(t-s)^{\alpha})^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2\alpha}}}{1+\lambda_k^{\beta}(t-s)^{\alpha}}\right|^2 ds\\
&\le Ct^{\alpha}\frac{1}{\Delta t}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\lambda_k^{\frac{\beta(\alpha+1)}{\alpha}}(\mu_k^n)^2,
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used $\frac{(\lambda_k^{\beta}(t-s)^{\alpha})^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2\alpha}}}{1+\lambda_k^{\beta}(t-s)^{\alpha}}\le C$.

Thus, the conclusion (3.11) follows immediately from (3.13) and (3.14).

Now we show (3.12). Note that $\{e_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is an orthonormal basis in $L^2(0,1)$, and for any $t\in[0,T]$, there exists $i\in\{1,2,\ldots,I\}$ such that $t\in[t_i,t_{i+1}]$. By (2.6),

(3.13) and (3.14), we deduce that

(3.15)

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{E}\|\partial_t^\alpha u_n(t)\|^2 &= \mathbf{E}\int_0^1 (\partial_t^\alpha u_n(t,x))^2 dx \\
&= \mathbf{E}\int_0^1 \left(-(-\Delta)^\beta u_n(t,x) + \sum_{k=1}^\infty \sigma_k^n(t) e_k(x) \left(\sum_{i=1}^I \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Delta t}} \xi_{ki}\chi_i(t)\right)\right)^2 dx \\
&\le C\mathbf{E}|u_n(t)|_{2\beta}^2 + C\mathbf{E}\int_0^1 \left(\sum_{k=1}^\infty \sigma_k^n(t) e_k(x) \frac{1}{\Delta t}(\xi_k(t_{i+1}) - \xi_k(t_i))\right)^2 dx \\
&\le Ct^{\frac{\alpha(q-2\beta)}{\beta}} \mathbf{E}|v_1|_q^2 + Ct^{2-\frac{\alpha(2\beta-r)}{\beta}} \mathbf{E}|v_2|_r^2 + Ct^\alpha \frac{1}{\Delta t}\sum_{k=1}^\infty \lambda_k^{\frac{\beta(\alpha+1)}{\alpha}} (\mu_k^n)^2 \\
&\quad + C\sum_{k=1}^\infty (\sigma_k^n(t))^2 \frac{1}{(\Delta t)^2} \mathbf{E}(\xi_k(t_{i+1}) - \xi_k(t_i))^2 \\
&\le Ct^{\frac{\alpha(q-2\beta)}{\beta}} \mathbf{E}|v_1|_q^2 + Ct^{2-\frac{\alpha(2\beta-r)}{\beta}} \mathbf{E}|v_2|_r^2 + Ct^\alpha \frac{1}{\Delta t}\sum_{k=1}^\infty \lambda_k^{\frac{\beta(\alpha+1)}{\alpha}} (\mu_k^n)^2 \\
&\quad + C\frac{1}{\Delta t}\sum_{k=1}^\infty (\mu_k^n)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

The proof is completed. □

3.3. **Error estimates for the homogeneous problem.** First, we establish error estimates for the homogeneous problem (2.7) with initial data $v_1 \in \dot{H}^q, q \in [\beta, 2\beta]$, $v_2 = 0$.

Theorem 3.7. *Let u_n be the solution of the homogeneous problem (2.7) with $v_1 \in \dot{H}^q, q \in [\beta, 2\beta]$, $v_2 = 0$, and u_n^h be the solution of the homogeneous problem (3.4) with $v_1^h = P_h v_1$, $v_2^h = 0$. Then with $\ell_h = |\ln h|$,*

$$\|u_n^h(t) - u_n(t)\| + h^\beta \|(-\Delta)^{\frac{\beta}{2}}(u_n^h(t) - u_n(t))\| \le C\ell_h h^{2\beta} t^{\frac{\alpha q}{2\beta} - \alpha} |v_1|_q \ \forall t \in (0, T].$$

Proof. For $v_1 \in \dot{H}^q, q \in [\beta, 2\beta], v_2 = 0$, we split the error $u_n^h - u_n$ into

$$u_n^h - u_n = (u_n^h - P_h u_n) + (P_h u_n - u_n) := \vartheta + \varrho.$$

It follows from Lemma 2.5 and (3.1) that

$$\|\varrho(t)\| + h^\beta \|(-\Delta)^{\frac{\beta}{2}}\varrho(t)\| \le Ch^{2\beta}|u_n(t)|_{2\beta} \le Ch^{2\beta} t^{\frac{\alpha q}{2\beta} - \alpha} |v_1|_q. \tag{3.16}$$

Using the identity $(-\Delta_h)^\beta R_h = P_h(-\Delta)^\beta$, we see that ϑ satisfies

$$\partial_t^\alpha \vartheta + (-\Delta_h)^\beta \vartheta = (-\Delta_h)^\beta (R_h u_n - P_h u_n)$$

with $\vartheta(0) = \partial_t \vartheta(0) = 0$. Then (3.8) implies that

$$\vartheta(t,x) = \int_0^t \int_0^1 \mathcal{S}_{\alpha,\beta}^h(t-s, x, y)(-\Delta_h)^\beta (R_h u_n(s,y) - P_h u_n(s,y)) dy ds.$$

For any $0<\varepsilon<2\beta$, by (3.10) and Lemmas 2.5, 3.1 and 3.5, we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\vartheta(t)\| &\le \int_0^t \left\| \int_0^1 \mathcal{S}^h_{\alpha,\beta}(t-s,x,y)(-\Delta_h)^\beta (R_h u_n(s,y)-P_h u_n(s,y))dy \right\| ds \\
&\le C\int_0^t (t-s)^{\frac{\alpha\varepsilon}{2\beta}-1} |(-\Delta_h)^\beta (R_h u_n - P_h u_n)(s)|_{\varepsilon-2\beta,h} ds \\
&= C\int_0^t (t-s)^{\frac{\alpha\varepsilon}{2\beta}-1} |(R_h u_n - P_h u_n)(s)|_{\varepsilon,h} ds \\
&\le Ch^{-\varepsilon}\int_0^t (t-s)^{\frac{\alpha\varepsilon}{2\beta}-1} \|(R_h u_n - P_h u_n)(s)\| ds \\
&\le Ch^{2\beta-\varepsilon}\int_0^t (t-s)^{\frac{\alpha\varepsilon}{2\beta}-1} |u_n(s)|_{2\beta} ds \\
&\le Ch^{2\beta-\varepsilon}\int_0^t (t-s)^{\frac{\alpha\varepsilon}{2\beta}-1} s^{\frac{\alpha q}{2\beta}-\alpha} |v_1|_q ds \\
&\le CB\left(\frac{\alpha\varepsilon}{2\beta}, 1+\frac{\alpha q}{2\beta}-\alpha\right) h^{2\beta-\varepsilon} t^{\frac{\alpha q}{2\beta}-\alpha} |v_1|_q \\
&\le C\varepsilon^{-1} h^{2\beta-\varepsilon} t^{\frac{\alpha q}{2\beta}-\alpha} |v_1|_q.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.17}
$$

In the similar way, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
h^\beta \|(-\Delta)^{\frac{\beta}{2}}\vartheta(t)\| &\le h^\beta \int_0^t \left| \int_0^1 \mathcal{S}^h_{\alpha,\beta}(t-s,x,y)(-\Delta_h)^\beta (R_h u_n(s,y)-P_h u_n(s,y))dy \right|_\beta ds \\
&\le Ch^\beta \int_0^t (t-s)^{\frac{\alpha\varepsilon}{2\beta}-1} |(-\Delta_h)^\beta (R_h u_n - P_h u_n)(s)|_{\varepsilon-\beta,h} ds \\
&= Ch^\beta \int_0^t (t-s)^{\frac{\alpha\varepsilon}{2\beta}-1} |(R_h u_n - P_h u_n)(s)|_{\varepsilon+\beta,h} ds \\
&\le Ch^{2\beta-\varepsilon} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\frac{\alpha\varepsilon}{2\beta}-1} |(R_h u_n - P_h u_n)(s)|_{\beta} ds \\
&\le Ch^{2\beta-\varepsilon} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\frac{\alpha\varepsilon}{2\beta}-1} |u_n(s)|_{2\beta} ds \\
&\le Ch^{2\beta-\varepsilon} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\frac{\alpha\varepsilon}{2\beta}-1} s^{\frac{\alpha q}{2\beta}-\alpha} |v_1|_q ds \\
&\le CB\left(\frac{\alpha\varepsilon}{2\beta}, 1+\frac{\alpha q}{2\beta}-\alpha\right) h^{2\beta-\varepsilon} t^{\frac{\alpha q}{2\beta}-\alpha} |v_1|_q \\
&\le C\varepsilon^{-1} h^{2\beta-\varepsilon} t^{\frac{\alpha q}{2\beta}-\alpha} |v_1|_q.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.18}
$$

The last inequalities in (3.17) and (3.18) follow from the fact $B(\frac{\alpha\varepsilon}{2\beta}, 1+\frac{\alpha q}{2\beta}-\alpha) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\alpha\varepsilon}{2\beta})\Gamma(1+\frac{\alpha q}{2\beta}-\alpha)}{\Gamma(\frac{\alpha\varepsilon}{2\beta}+1+\frac{\alpha q}{2\beta}-\alpha)}$ and $\Gamma(\frac{\alpha\varepsilon}{2\beta}) \sim \frac{2\beta}{\alpha\varepsilon}$ as $\varepsilon \to 0^+$, e.g., by means of Laurent expansion of the Gamma function. Then the desired assertion follows by choosing $\varepsilon = 1/\ell_h$ and the triangle inequality. □

Next we state an error estimate for the homogeneous problem (2.7) with initial data $v_1 = 0$, $v_2 \in \dot{H}^{2\beta}$.

Theorem 3.8. *Let u_n be the solution of the homogeneous problem (2.7) with $v_1 = 0, v_2 \in \dot{H}^{2\beta}$, and u_n^h be the solution of the homogeneous problem (3.4) with $v_1^h = 0$, $v_2^h = R_h v_2$. Then*

$$\|u_n^h(t) - u_n(t)\| + h^\beta \|(-\Delta)^{\frac{\beta}{2}}(u_n^h(t) - u_n(t))\| \le Ch^{2\beta} t |v_2|_{2\beta} \ \forall t \in (0, T].$$

Proof. For $v_1 = 0, v_2 \in \dot{H}^{2\beta}$, we split the error $u_n^h - u_n$ into two terms as

$$u_n^h - u_n = (u_n^h - R_h u_n) + (R_h u_n - u_n) := \bar{\vartheta} + \bar{\varrho}.$$

By (3.2) and Lemma 2.5, we have for any $t > 0$

$$\|\bar{\varrho}(t)\| + h^\beta \|(-\Delta)^{\frac{\beta}{2}} \bar{\varrho}(t)\| \le Ch^{2\beta} |u_n(t)|_{2\beta} \le Ch^{2\beta} t |v_2|_{2\beta}. \tag{3.19}$$

Using the identity $(-\Delta_h)^\beta R_h = P_h(-\Delta)^\beta$, we note that $\bar{\vartheta}$ satisfies

$$\partial_t^\alpha \bar{\vartheta}(t) + (-\Delta_h)^\beta \bar{\vartheta}(t) = -P_h \partial_t^\alpha \bar{\varrho}(t) \quad \text{with} \quad \bar{\vartheta}(0) = \partial_t \bar{\vartheta}(0) = 0.$$

By (3.8), we obtain that

$$\bar{\vartheta}(t, x) = -\int_0^t \int_0^1 \mathcal{S}_{\alpha,\beta}^h(t - s, x, y) P_h \partial_s^\alpha \bar{\varrho}(s, y) dy ds.$$

Applying Lemmas 2.5, 3.1 and 3.5, then we deduce that for $p = 0, \beta$,

$$\begin{aligned}
|\bar{\vartheta}(t)|_p &\le \int_0^t \left| \int_0^1 \mathcal{S}_{\alpha,\beta}^h(t - s, x, y) P_h \partial_s^\alpha \bar{\varrho}(s, y) dy \right|_p ds \\
&\le C \int_0^t (t - s)^{\alpha-1} |\partial_s^\alpha \bar{\varrho}(s)|_p ds \\
&= C \int_0^t (t - s)^{\alpha-1} |(R_h \partial_s^\alpha u_n - \partial_s^\alpha u_n)(s)|_p ds \\
&\le Ch^{2\beta-p} \int_0^t (t - s)^{\alpha-1} |\partial_s^\alpha u_n(s)|_{2\beta} ds \\
&\le Ch^{2\beta-p} \int_0^t (t - s)^{\alpha-1} s^{1-\alpha} |v_2|_{2\beta} ds \\
&\le Ch^{2\beta-p} B(\alpha, 2 - \alpha)\, t |v_2|_{2\beta}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

Thus the conclusion follows immediately by the triangle inequality and (3.19)-(3.20). □

Finally we show an error estimate for the homogeneous problem (2.7) with initial data $v_1 = 0$, $v_2 \in H$.

Theorem 3.9. *Let u_n be the solution of the homogeneous problem (2.7) with $v_1 = 0, v_2 \in H$, and u_n^h be the solution of the homogeneous problem (3.4) with $v_1^h = 0$, $v_2^h = P_h v_2$. Then with $\ell_h = |\ln h|$,*

$$\|u_n^h(t) - u_n(t)\| + h^\beta \|(-\Delta)^{\frac{\beta}{2}}(u_n^h(t) - u_n(t))\| \le C\ell_h h^{2\beta} t^{1-\alpha} \|v_2\| \quad \forall t \in (0, T]. \tag{3.21}$$

Proof. For $v_1 = 0, v_2 \in H$, we split the error $u_n^h - u_n$ into two terms:

$$u_n^h - u_n = (u_n^h - P_h u_n) + (P_h u_n - u_n) := \hat{\vartheta} + \hat{\varrho}.$$

It follows from Lemma 2.5 and (3.1) that

$$\|\hat{\varrho}(t)\| + h^\beta \|(-\Delta)^{\frac{\beta}{2}} \hat{\varrho}(t)\| \le Ch^{2\beta} |u_n(t)|_{2\beta} \le Ch^{2\beta} t^{1-\alpha} \|v_2\|.$$

Using the identity $(-\Delta_h)^\beta R_h = P_h(-\Delta)^\beta$, we see that $\hat{\vartheta}$ satisfies

$$\partial_t^\alpha \hat{\vartheta} + (-\Delta_h)^\beta \hat{\vartheta} = (-\Delta_h)^\beta (R_h u_n - P_h u_n)$$

with $\hat{\vartheta}(0) = \partial_t \hat{\vartheta}(0) = 0$. Then (3.8) implies that

$$\hat{\vartheta}(t,x) = \int_0^t \int_0^1 \mathcal{S}^h_{\alpha,\beta}(t-s,x,y)(-\Delta_h)^\beta (R_h u_n(s,y) - P_h u_n(s,y)) dy ds.$$

For any $0 < \varepsilon < 2\beta$, by (3.10) and Lemmas 2.5, 3.1 and 3.5, we obtain that for $p = 0, \beta$,

$$\begin{aligned}
|\hat{\vartheta}(t)|_p &\le \int_0^t \left| \int_0^1 \mathcal{S}^h_{\alpha,\beta}(t-s,x,y)(-\Delta_h)^\beta (R_h u_n(s,y) - P_h u_n(s,y)) dy \right|_p ds \\
&\le C \int_0^t (t-s)^{\frac{\alpha\varepsilon}{2\beta}-1} |(-\Delta_h)^\beta (R_h u_n - P_h u_n)(s)|_{\varepsilon-2\beta+p,h} ds \\
&= C \int_0^t (t-s)^{\frac{\alpha\varepsilon}{2\beta}-1} |(R_h u_n - P_h u_n)(s)|_{\varepsilon+p,h} ds \\
&\le Ch^{-\varepsilon} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\frac{\alpha\varepsilon}{2\beta}-1} |(R_h u_n - P_h u_n)(s)|_p ds \\
&\le Ch^{2\beta-p-\varepsilon} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\frac{\alpha\varepsilon}{2\beta}-1} |u_n(s)|_{2\beta} ds \\
&\le Ch^{2\beta-p-\varepsilon} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\frac{\alpha\varepsilon}{2\beta}-1} s^{1-\alpha} \|v_2\| ds \\
&\le CB\left(\frac{\alpha\varepsilon}{2\beta}, 2-\alpha\right) h^{2\beta-p-\varepsilon} t^{1-\alpha} \|v_2\| \\
&\le C\varepsilon^{-1} h^{2\beta-p-\varepsilon} t^{1-\alpha} \|v_2\|.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

The last inequality follows from the fact $B(\frac{\alpha\varepsilon}{2\beta}, 2-\alpha) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\alpha\varepsilon}{2\beta})\Gamma(2-\alpha)}{\Gamma(\frac{\alpha\varepsilon}{2\beta}+2-\alpha)}$ and $\Gamma(\frac{\alpha\varepsilon}{2\beta}) \sim \frac{2\beta}{\alpha\varepsilon}$ as $\varepsilon \to 0^+$. Then the desired assertion follows by choosing $\varepsilon = 1/\ell_h$ and the triangle inequality. □

Remark 3.10. Let u_n be the solution of the homogeneous problem (2.7) with $v_1 = 0, v_2 \in \dot{H}^r, r \in [0, 2\beta]$, and u_n^h be the solution of the homogeneous problem (3.4) with $v_1^h = 0$, $v_2^h = P_h v_2$. Then with $\ell_h = |\ln h|$,

$$\|u_n^h(t) - u_n(t)\| + h^\beta \|(-\Delta)^{\frac{\beta}{2}} (u_n^h(t) - u_n(t))\| \le C\ell_h h^{2\beta} t^{1-\alpha+\frac{\alpha r}{2\beta}} |v_2|_r \quad \forall t \in (0,T]. \tag{3.23}$$

3.4. **Error estimates for the nonhomogeneous problem.** First, we derive an error estimate for the nonhomogeneous problem (2.7) with initial data $v_1 = v_2 = 0$.

Theorem 3.11. *Let u_n and u_n^h be the solutions of* (2.7) *and* (3.4) *with $v_1 = v_2 = 0$, respectively. Assume that $\{\sigma_k^n(t)\}$ are uniformly bounded by $|\sigma_k^n(t)| \le \mu_k^n$ $\forall t \in [0,T]$.*

Then with $\ell_h = |\ln h|$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbf{E}\|u_n^h(t) - u_n(t)\|^2 + h^{2\beta}\mathbf{E}\|(-\Delta)^{\frac{\beta}{2}}(u_n^h(t) - u_n(t))\|^2\\ &\quad\le C\ell_h h^{4\beta}\frac{1}{\Delta t}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\lambda_k^{\frac{\beta(\alpha+1)}{\alpha}}(\mu_k^n)^2 \quad \forall t\in[0,T],\end{aligned}$$

provided that the infinite series are convergent, where C *is a positive constant independent of* Δt *and* h.

Proof. We split the error $u_n^h - u_n$ into

$$u_n^h - u_n = (u_n^h - P_h u_n) + (P_h u_n - u_n) := \upsilon + \rho.$$

By (3.1) we have

$$\mathbf{E}\|\rho(t)\|^2 + h^{2\beta}\mathbf{E}\|(-\Delta)^{\frac{\beta}{2}}\rho(t)\|^2 \le Ch^{4\beta}\mathbf{E}|u_n(t)|_{2\beta}^2. \tag{3.24}$$

Note that $v_1 = v_2 = 0$, hence it follows from (3.13) and (3.14) that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{E}|u_n(t)|_{2\beta}^2 &= \mathbf{E}\left|\int_0^t\int_0^1 \mathcal{S}_{\alpha,\beta}(t-s,x,y)dW_n(s,y)\right|_{2\beta}^2\\ &\le Ct^{\alpha}\frac{1}{\Delta t}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\lambda_k^{\frac{\beta(\alpha+1)}{\alpha}}(\mu_k^n)^2.\end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

Therefore

$$\mathbf{E}\|\rho(t)\|^2 + h^{2\beta}\mathbf{E}\|(-\Delta)^{\frac{\beta}{2}}\rho(t)\|^2 \le Ct^{\alpha}h^{4\beta}\frac{1}{\Delta t}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\lambda_k^{\frac{\beta(\alpha+1)}{\alpha}}(\mu_k^n)^2. \tag{3.26}$$

Moreover, we consider the equation

$$\partial_t^{\alpha}\upsilon + (-\Delta_h)^{\beta}\upsilon = (-\Delta_h)^{\beta}(R_h u_n - P_h u_n) \quad \text{with} \quad \upsilon(0) = \partial_t\upsilon(0) = 0.$$

Then it follows from (3.8) that

$$\upsilon(t,x) = \int_0^t\int_0^1 \mathcal{S}_{\alpha,\beta}^h(t-s,x,y)(-\Delta_h)^{\beta}(R_h u_n(s,y) - P_h u_n(s,y))dyds.$$

For any $0<\varepsilon<2\beta$, by the similar argument of (3.22) and using Hölder's inequality, we deduce that for $p=0,\beta$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{E}|v(t)|_p^2 &\le \mathbf{E}\left(\int_0^t \left|\int_0^1 \mathcal{S}_{\alpha,\beta}^h(t-s,x,y)(-\Delta_h)^\beta(R_h u_n(s,y)-P_h u_n(s,y))dy\right|_p ds\right)^2\\
&\le C\mathbf{E}\left(\int_0^t (t-s)^{\frac{\alpha\varepsilon}{2\beta}-1}|(-\Delta_h)^\beta(R_h u_n-P_h u_n)(s)|_{\varepsilon-2\beta+p,h}ds\right)^2\\
&= C\mathbf{E}\left(\int_0^t (t-s)^{\frac{\alpha\varepsilon}{2\beta}-1}|(R_h u_n-P_h u_n)(s)|_{\varepsilon+p,h}ds\right)^2\\
&\le Ch^{-2\varepsilon}\mathbf{E}\left(\int_0^t (t-s)^{\frac{\alpha\varepsilon}{2\beta}-1}|(R_h u_n-P_h u_n)(s)|_p ds\right)^2\\
&\le Ch^{4\beta-2p-2\varepsilon}\mathbf{E}\left(\int_0^t (t-s)^{\frac{\alpha\varepsilon}{2\beta}-1}|u_n(s)|_{2\beta}ds\right)^2\\
&\le Ch^{4\beta-2p-2\varepsilon}\int_0^t (t-s)^{\frac{\alpha\varepsilon}{2\beta}-1}ds\int_0^t (t-s)^{\frac{\alpha\varepsilon}{2\beta}-1}\mathbf{E}|u_n(s)|_{2\beta}^2 ds\\
&\le Ch^{4\beta-2p-2\varepsilon}t^{\frac{\alpha\varepsilon}{2\beta}}\int_0^t (t-s)^{\frac{\alpha\varepsilon}{2\beta}-1}\mathbf{E}|u_n(s)|_{2\beta}^2 ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Using (3.25), we obtain for $p=0,\beta$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{E}|v(t)|_p^2 &\le Ct^{\frac{\alpha\varepsilon}{2\beta}}h^{4\beta-2p-2\varepsilon}\int_0^t (t-s)^{\frac{\alpha\varepsilon}{2\beta}-1}s^\alpha\frac{1}{\Delta t}\sum_{k=1}^\infty \lambda_k^{\frac{\beta(\alpha+1)}{\alpha}}(\mu_k^n)^2 ds\\
&\le Ct^{\frac{\alpha\varepsilon}{2\beta}}h^{4\beta-2p-2\varepsilon}\frac{1}{\Delta t}\sum_{k=1}^\infty \lambda_k^{\frac{\beta(\alpha+1)}{\alpha}}(\mu_k^n)^2 B\left(\frac{\alpha\varepsilon}{2\beta},1+\alpha\right)\\
&\le C\varepsilon^{-1}h^{4\beta-2p-2\varepsilon}\frac{1}{\Delta t}\sum_{k=1}^\infty \lambda_k^{\frac{\beta(\alpha+1)}{\alpha}}(\mu_k^n)^2.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.27}$$

The last inequality follows from the fact $B(\frac{\alpha\varepsilon}{2\beta},1+\alpha)=\frac{\Gamma(\frac{\alpha\varepsilon}{2\beta})\Gamma(1+\alpha)}{\Gamma(\frac{\alpha\varepsilon}{2\beta}+1+\alpha)}$ and $\Gamma(\frac{\alpha\varepsilon}{2\beta})\sim\frac{2\beta}{\alpha\varepsilon}$ as $\varepsilon\to 0^+$. Then the desired assertion follows by choosing $\varepsilon=1/\ell_h$ and the triangle inequality. □

As a simple consequence of Theorem 3.7, Remark 3.10 and Theorem 3.11, we have

Theorem 3.12. *Let u_n be the solution of problem (2.7) with $v_1\in\dot{H}^q$, $q\in[\beta,2\beta]$, $v_2\in\dot{H}^r$, $r\in[0,2\beta]$, and u_n^h be the solution of (3.4) with $v_1^h=P_hv_1, v_2^h=P_hv_2$. Assume that $\{\sigma_k^n(t)\}$ are uniformly bounded by $|\sigma_k^n(t)|\le\mu_k^n\ \forall t\in[0,T]$. Then with $\ell_h=|\ln h|$,*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{E}\|u_n(t)-u_n^h(t)\|^2+h^{2\beta}\mathbf{E}\|(-\Delta)^{\frac{\beta}{2}}(u_n^h(t)-u_n(t))\|^2\le C\ell_h^2h^{4\beta}t^{\frac{\alpha q}{\beta}-2\alpha}\mathbf{E}|v_1|_q^2\\
&\quad+C\ell_h^2h^{4\beta}t^{2-2\alpha+\frac{\alpha r}{\beta}}\mathbf{E}|v_2|_r^2+C\ell_hh^{4\beta}\frac{1}{\Delta t}\sum_{k=1}^\infty\lambda_k^{\frac{\beta(\alpha+1)}{\alpha}}(\mu_k^n)^2\quad\forall t\in[0,T],
\end{aligned}$$

provided that the infinite series are convergent, where C is a positive constant independent of Δt and h.

Proof. By (2.12) and (3.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} u_n(t,x) - u_n^h(t,x) &= \int_0^1 \big(\mathcal{T}_{\alpha,\beta}(t,x,y)v_1(y) - \mathcal{T}_{\alpha,\beta}^h(t,x,y)P_h v_1(y)\big)\,dy \\ &\quad + \int_0^1 \big(\mathcal{R}_{\alpha,\beta}(t,x,y)v_2(y) - \mathcal{R}_{\alpha,\beta}^h(t,x,y)P_h v_2(y)\big)\,dy \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \int_0^1 \big(\mathcal{S}_{\alpha,\beta}(t-s,x,y) - \mathcal{S}_{\alpha,\beta}^h(t-s,x,y)P_h\big)\,dW_n(s,y). \end{aligned}$$

Then by the triangle inequality, Theorem 3.7, Remark 3.10 and Theorem 3.11, we obtain the desired result. □

Furthermore, thanks to Theorems 2.6 and 3.12, a space-time error estimate for problem (2.5) follows from the triangle inequality.

Theorem 3.13. *Suppose that the assumptions of Theorem 2.6 hold. Let u be the solution of (2.5) with $v_1 \in \dot{H}^q$, $q \in [\beta, 2\beta]$, $v_2 \in \dot{H}^r$, $r \in [0, 2\beta]$, and u_n^h be the solution of (3.4) with $v_1^h = P_h v_1$, $v_2^h = P_h v_2$. Then for any $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}$ and with $\ell_h = |\ln h|$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}\|u(t) - u_n^h(t)\|^2 &\le C\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k^{-\frac{2\beta(\alpha-1)}{\alpha}} (\eta_k^n)^2 + C(\Delta t)^2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k^{-\frac{2\beta(\alpha-1)}{\alpha}} (\gamma_k^n)^2 \\ &\quad + C(\Delta t)^{2\alpha-1-2\varepsilon} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\mu_k^n)^2 + C\ell_h^2 h^{4\beta} t^{\frac{\alpha q}{\beta}-2\alpha} \mathbf{E}|v_1|_q^2 \\ &\quad + C\ell_h^2 h^{4\beta} t^{2-2\alpha+\frac{\alpha r}{\beta}} \mathbf{E}|v_2|_r^2 + C\ell_h h^{4\beta} \frac{1}{\Delta t} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k^{\frac{\beta(\alpha+1)}{\alpha}} (\mu_k^n)^2 \quad \text{for} \quad 1 < \alpha \le \frac{3}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}\|u(t) - u_n^h(t)\|^2 &\le C\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k^{-\frac{2\beta(\alpha-1)}{\alpha}} (\eta_k^n)^2 + C(\Delta t)^2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k^{-\frac{2\beta(\alpha-1)}{\alpha}} (\gamma_k^n)^2 \\ &\quad + C(\Delta t)^2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\mu_k^n)^2 + C\ell_h^2 h^{4\beta} t^{\frac{\alpha q}{\beta}-2\alpha} \mathbf{E}|v_1|_q^2 \\ &\quad + C\ell_h^2 h^{4\beta} t^{2-2\alpha+\frac{\alpha r}{\beta}} \mathbf{E}|v_2|_r^2 + C\ell_h h^{4\beta} \frac{1}{\Delta t} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k^{\frac{\beta(\alpha+1)}{\alpha}} (\mu_k^n)^2 \quad \text{for} \quad \frac{3}{2} < \alpha < 2, \end{aligned}$$

provided that the infinite series are all convergent, where C is a positive constant independent of Δt and h.

4. Numerical Results

Here we present some numerical tests to verify the theoretical error estimates for the Galerkin FEM. For definiteness, we simulate (2.5), (2.7) and (3.4) with

$$\sigma_k(t) = \frac{1}{k^3}, \quad \sigma_k^n(t) = \begin{cases} \sigma_k(t), & k \le n, \\ 0, & k > n, \end{cases} \qquad v_1(x) = -4x^2 + 4x \text{ and } v_2(x) = x.$$

The upper bounds η_k^n, μ_k^n and γ_k^n given in Theorem 2.6 can be chosen as

$$\eta_k^n(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & k \le n, \\ \frac{1}{k^3}, & k > n, \end{cases} \qquad \mu_k^n = \gamma_k^n = \frac{1}{k^3}.$$

For getting the numerical errors and convergence orders, according to the definition of Ito's integral, we introduce the reference ("exact") solution u_{ref} defined by

$$\begin{aligned} u_{ref} = &\int_0^1 \mathcal{T}_{\alpha,\beta}(t,x,y)v_1(y)dy + \int_0^1 \mathcal{R}_{\alpha,\beta}(t,x,y)v_2(y)dy \\ &+ \sum_{n=1}^{N'} \int_0^1 \mathcal{S}_{\alpha,\beta}(t-t_n,x,y) \sum_{k=1}^{M'} \sigma_k(t_n)(\xi_k(t_{n+1}) - \xi_k(t_n))e_k(y)dy, \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

where the values of the Mittag-Leffler function at each nodal point t_n in (4.1) are obtained by applying the algorithm given in [14]; $N' = 1000$ with the uniform discretization of the time interval; $M' = 1000$, $\lambda_k = k^2\pi^2$, and $e_k(y) = \sqrt{2}\sin k\pi y$.

In our numerical experiments, we first begin with a study of L^2-norm error in the mean-squared sense between the solutions u_{ref} and u_n, which is based on the space and time discretization of the noise. By (5.4) in Appendix, we only need to simulate the stochastic integrals in (2.8) and (2.12). By Theorem 2.6, we need to verify

$$(\mathbf{E}\|u_{ref}(t_{I+1}) - u_n(t_{I+1})\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leqslant \begin{cases} C(\Delta t)^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}, & 1 < \alpha \le \frac{3}{2}, \\ C\Delta t, & \frac{3}{2} < \alpha < 2. \end{cases} \tag{4.2}$$

Since

$$(\mathbf{E}\|u_{ref}(t_{I+1}) - u_n(t_{I+1})\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \approx \left(\frac{1}{M} \sum_{l=1}^{M} \|u_{ref}(t_{I+1},\omega_l) - u_n(t_{I+1},\omega_l)\|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

we take $M = 1000$ as the number of simulation trajectories. The numerical results with $\beta = 0.75$ and $t_{I+1} = T = 1$ are presented in Table 1. From Table 1, it can be observed that, the mean-squared L^2-norm errors converge fast as Δt decreases, and its convergence rates are closely related to the values of α, which agrees well with (4.2). These results confirm the error estimate in Theorem 2.6.

TABLE 1. The mean-squared L^2-norm modeling errors and convergence rates of (2.7) with $\beta = 0.75$, $t_{I+1} = 1$, and $M = 1000$.

$1/\Delta t$	$\alpha = 1.1$	Rate	$\alpha = 1.25$	Rate	$\alpha = 1.5$	Rate	$\alpha = 1.75$	Rate	$\alpha = 2.0$	Rate
25	1.2567e-2	–	1.5505e-2	–	1.3168e-2	–	9.8503e-3	–	7.1887e-3	–
50	7.6842e-3	0.7097	9.5036e-3	0.7062	7.3208e-3	0.8470	5.1609e-3	0.9325	3.7898e-3	0.9236
100	4.8080e-3	0.6765	5.4252e-3	0.8088	3.7393e-3	0.9693	2.5460e-3	1.0194	1.8082e-3	1.0676
125	4.2249e-3	0.5793	4.6975e-3	0.6454	3.0322e-3	0.9392	2.0506e-3	0.9697	1.4707e-3	0.9258
200	2.9152e-3	0.7895	3.1393e-3	0.8575	2.0008e-3	0.8846	1.3215e-3	0.9348	9.4838e-4	0.9335

Next, we measure the mean-squared L^2-norm error between u_n and the finite element solution u_n^h. We first divide the unit interval $(0,1)$ into $N+1$ equally spaced subintervals with $h = 1/(N+1)$. Let V_h be the finite element space consisting of

continuous piecewise linear polynomials. Notice that the eigenpairs $\{\lambda_j^{h,\beta}, e_j^h(x)\}$ of the one-dimensional discrete fractional Laplacian $(-\triangle_h)^\beta$, defined by (3.3), satisfies

$$((-\triangle_h)^\beta e_j^h, \chi) = \lambda_j^{h,\beta}(e_j^h, \chi) \qquad \forall \chi \in V_h. \tag{4.3}$$

Then for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, N$,

$$\lambda_j^{h,\beta} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k^\beta (e_j^h, e_k)^2. \tag{4.4}$$

The expressions (4.3)-(4.4) are used in computing the finite element solution of the Galerkin methods through its representation (3.8). To validate the convergence rate 2β for $\|u_n(t_{I+1}) - u_n^h(t_{I+1})\|_{L^2(\Omega;H)}$, we simulate the integral expressions in (2.12) and (3.8) with $\Delta t = 0.01$ and the number of trajectories $M = 500$. The numerical results with $\alpha = 1.5, t_{I+1} = T = 1$ are presented in Table 2. From Table 2, we can see that, for fixed I, the results of $\|u_n(t_{I+1}) - u_n^h(t_{I+1})\|_{L^2(\Omega;H)}$ converge as h approaches to 0, and the corresponding convergence rates at least have an order of $\mathcal{O}(h^{2\beta})$, which in turn justify the statement of Theorem 3.12. Combining the datum of the tables 1 and 2 gives the convergence rates, at least $\mathcal{O}((\Delta t)^{\alpha-1/2-\varepsilon} + h^{2\beta})$ for $1 < \alpha \leq 3/2$ and $\mathcal{O}(\Delta t + h^{2\beta})$ for $3/2 < \alpha < 2$, where the errors, measured by the mean-squared L^2-norm, are the differences between the reference ("exact") solution $u_{ref}(t_{I+1}, \omega_l)$ and the numerical solution $u_n^h(t_{I+1}, \omega_l)$. This means that Theorem 3.13 is valid.

TABLE 2. The mean-squared L^2-norm errors and convergence rates of the FEM approximations (3.4) with $\alpha = 1.5$, $t_{I+1} = 1$, $\Delta t = 0.01$ and $M = 500$.

$1/h$	$\beta = 0.6$	Rate	$\beta = 0.8$	Rate	$\beta = 1.0$	Rate
10	7.6377e-3	–	6.8670e-3	–	4.0807e-3	–
25	1.3772e-3	1.8695	1.1233e-3	1.9759	5.9384e-4	2.1035
50	3.8494e-4	1.8390	2.7870e-4	2.0109	1.6592e-4	1.8396
75	1.8444e-4	1.8146	1.2644e-4	1.9493	7.3794e-5	1.9983
100	1.0920e-4	1.8221	6.9840e-5	2.0632	4.1522e-5	1.9989

5. Conclusions

Not only the pillars of anomalous diffusion but also the relation between attenuation and frequency of wave propagation in heterogeneous media have power-law structure. Fractional calculus plays a key in characterizing this structure. In general, the stochastic perturbations can not be avoided in physical system; sometimes they even can not be ignored, which need to add the corresponding stochastic term to the deterministic governing equation. The paper considers the stochastic space-time fractional wave equation forced by an additive space-time white noise, which describe the power-law attenuated wave propagation affected by the white Gaussian noise. The effective finite element approximation for the fractional Laplacian is provided, and the definition of the discrete fractional Laplacian is introduced. The special norms and function spaces are established to analyze the regularity and stability of the equations, in particular, the mean-squared L^2-norm priori estimates

for the finite element approximation error and modeling error, resulted by discretizing the space-time white noise, are derived. The performed numerical simulation results confirm the theoretical analysis.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No. 11271173 and No. 11571153.

Appendix

Proof of Lemma 2.4. Let

$$u(t,x)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(u(t),e_k)e_k(x)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}u_k(t)e_k(x). \tag{5.1}$$

Substituting (5.1) into (2.5), we get that for $1<\alpha<2$,

$$\partial_t^{\alpha}u_k(t)+\lambda_k^{\beta}u_k(t)=\sigma_k(t)\dot{\xi}_k(t),\quad u_k(0)=v_{1k},\ \partial_t u_k(0)=v_{2k}. \tag{5.2}$$

By Theorem 5.15 in [19], we have

$$\begin{aligned}u_k(t)&=v_{1k}E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_k^{\beta}t^{\alpha})+v_{2k}tE_{\alpha,2}(-\lambda_k^{\beta}t^{\alpha})\\&\quad+\int_0^t(t-s)^{\alpha-1}E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_k^{\beta}(t-s)^{\alpha})\sigma_k(s)\dot{\xi}_k(s)ds.\end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

Then, it follows from (5.1) and (5.3) that

$$\begin{aligned}u(t,x)&=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}v_{1k}E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_k^{\beta}t^{\alpha})e_k(x)+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}v_{2k}tE_{\alpha,2}(-\lambda_k^{\beta}t^{\alpha})e_k(x)\\&\quad+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\int_0^t(t-s)^{\alpha-1}E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_k^{\beta}(t-s)^{\alpha})\sigma_k(s)\dot{\xi}_k(s)dse_k(x)\\&=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_k^{\beta}t^{\alpha})(v_1,e_k)e_k(x)+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}tE_{\alpha,2}(-\lambda_k^{\beta}t^{\alpha})(v_2,e_k)e_k(x)\\&\quad+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\int_0^t(t-s)^{\alpha-1}E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_k^{\beta}(t-s)^{\alpha})\left(\sum_{l=1}^{\infty}\sigma_l(s)\dot{\xi}_l(s)e_l,e_k\right)e_k(x)ds\\&=\int_0^1\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_k^{\beta}t^{\alpha})e_k(x)e_k(y)v_1(y)dy\\&\quad+\int_0^1\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}tE_{\alpha,2}(-\lambda_k^{\beta}t^{\alpha})e_k(x)e_k(y)v_2(y)dy\\&\quad+\int_0^t\int_0^1(t-s)^{\alpha-1}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_k^{\beta}(t-s)^{\alpha})e_k(x)e_k(y)dW(s,y)\\&=\int_0^1\mathcal{T}_{\alpha,\beta}(t,x,y)v_1(y)dy+\int_0^1\mathcal{R}_{\alpha,\beta}(t,x,y)v_2(y)dy\\&\quad+\int_0^t\int_0^1\mathcal{S}_{\alpha,\beta}(t-s,x,y)dW(s,y).\end{aligned}$$

The Lemma is now proved. □

Proof of Lemma 2.5. By Lemmas 2.1 and 2.4, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\int_0^1 \mathcal{T}_{\alpha,\beta}(t,x,y)v_1(y)dy\right|_p^2 &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\lambda_k^p|E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_k^\beta t^\alpha)|^2(v_1,e_k)^2\\ &\le t^{\frac{\alpha(q-p)}{\beta}}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{C(\lambda_k^\beta t^\alpha)^{\frac{p-q}{\beta}}}{(1+\lambda_k^\beta t^\alpha)^2}\lambda_k^q(v_1,e_k)^2 \le Ct^{\frac{\alpha(q-p)}{\beta}}|v_1|_q^2,\end{aligned}$$

where we have used $\frac{(\lambda_k^\beta t^\alpha)^{\frac{p-q}{\beta}}}{(1+\lambda_k^\beta t^\alpha)^2}\le C$ for $0\le q\le p\le 2\beta$.

Similarly, we deduce that

$$\left|\int_0^1 \mathcal{R}_{\alpha,\beta}(t,x,y)v_2(y)dy\right|_p^2 \le Ct^{2-\frac{\alpha(p-r)}{\beta}}|v_2|_r^2.$$

Now we consider the case $q>p$. Since $0<\lambda_1\le\lambda_2\le\lambda_3\le\cdots$ and $\lambda_k\to\infty$ as $k\to\infty$, we obtain from Lemmas 2.1 and 2.4 that

$$\left|\int_0^1 \mathcal{T}_{\alpha,\beta}(t,x,y)v_1(y)dy\right|_p^2 \le \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{C}{\lambda_k^{q-p}(1+\lambda_k^\beta t^\alpha)^2}\lambda_k^q(v_1,e_k)^2 \le Ct^{-2\alpha}|v_1|_q^2,$$

and in the similar way, we have

$$\left|\int_0^1 \mathcal{R}_{\alpha,\beta}(t,x,y)v_2(y)dy\right|_p^2 \le Ct^{2-2\alpha}|v_2|_r^2.$$

Thus, (2.13) follows immediately by the triangle inequality.

On the other hand, it follows from Lemmas 2.1 and 2.4 that

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\partial_t^\alpha\int_0^1 \mathcal{T}_{\alpha,\beta}(t,x,y)v_1(y)dy\right|_p^2 &= \sum_{l=1}^{\infty}\lambda_l^{p+2\beta}\left(\int_0^1 \mathcal{T}_{\alpha,\beta}(t,x,y)v_1(y)dy,e_l\right)^2\\ &= \sum_{l=1}^{\infty}\lambda_l^{p+2\beta}|E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_l^\beta t^\alpha)|^2(v_1,e_l)^2\\ &\le t^{-\alpha(2+\frac{p-q}{\beta})}\sum_{l=1}^{\infty}\frac{C(\lambda_l^\beta t^\alpha)^{\frac{2\beta+p-q}{\beta}}}{(1+\lambda_l^\beta t^\alpha)^2}\lambda_l^q(v_1,e_l)^2\\ &\le Ct^{-\alpha(2+\frac{p-q}{\beta})}|v_1|_q^2.\end{aligned}$$

A similar estimate for $|\partial_t^\alpha\int_0^1\mathcal{R}_{\alpha,\beta}(t,x,y)v_2(y)dy|_p^2$ holds, and this completes the proof. □

Proof of Theorem 2.6. Without loss of generality, we assume that there exists a positive integer I_t such that $t=t_{I_t+1}$. Subtracting (2.12) from (2.8), we have

(5.4)

$$\begin{aligned}u(t,x)-u_n(t,x) &= \int_0^t\int_0^1(t-s)^{\alpha-1}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_k^\beta(t-s)^\alpha)e_k(y)e_k(x)dW(s,y)\\ &\quad-\int_0^t\int_0^1(t-s)^{\alpha-1}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_k^\beta(t-s)^\alpha)e_k(y)e_k(x)dW_n(s,y),\end{aligned}$$

where

$$dW(s,y)=\frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial s\partial y}dyds=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\sigma_k(s)e_k(y)dyd\xi_k(s), \tag{5.5}$$

and

$$dW_n(s,y)=\frac{\partial^2 W_n}{\partial s\partial y}dyds=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\sigma_k^n(s)e_k(y)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{I_t}\frac{1}{\sqrt{\Delta t}}\xi_{ki}\chi_i(s)\right)dyds. \tag{5.6}$$

To estimate (5.4), we introduce an intermediate noise form

$$d\hat{W}_n(s,y)=\frac{\partial^2 \hat{W}_n}{\partial s\partial y}dyds=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\sigma_k^n(s)e_k(y)dyd\xi_k(s). \tag{5.7}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{G}_1=&\int_0^t\int_0^1(t-s)^{\alpha-1}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_k^{\beta}(t-s)^{\alpha})e_k(y)e_k(x)dW(s,y)\\&-\int_0^t\int_0^1(t-s)^{\alpha-1}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_k^{\beta}(t-s)^{\alpha})e_k(y)e_k(x)d\hat{W}_n(s,y),\end{aligned} \tag{5.8}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{G}_2=&\int_0^t\int_0^1(t-s)^{\alpha-1}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_k^{\beta}(t-s)^{\alpha})e_k(y)e_k(x)d\hat{W}_n(s,y)\\&-\int_0^t\int_0^1(t-s)^{\alpha-1}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_k^{\beta}(t-s)^{\alpha})e_k(y)e_k(x)dW_n(s,y).\end{aligned} \tag{5.9}$$

Then it follows from (5.4) and (5.8)-(5.9) that

$$u-u_n=\mathcal{G}_1+\mathcal{G}_2. \tag{5.10}$$

For $\mathcal{G}_1$, since $\{e_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is an orthonormal basis in $L^2(0,1)$, we get from (5.5), (5.7) and the Itô isometry that

(5.11)

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{E}\|\mathcal{G}_1\|^2=&\mathbf{E}\int_0^1\left(\int_0^t\int_0^1(t-s)^{\alpha-1}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_k^{\beta}(t-s)^{\alpha})e_k(y)e_k(x)dW(s,y)\right.\\&\left.-\int_0^t\int_0^1(t-s)^{\alpha-1}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_k^{\beta}(t-s)^{\alpha})e_k(y)e_k(x)d\hat{W}_n(s,y)\right)^2dx\\=&\int_0^1\mathbf{E}\left(\int_0^t(t-s)^{\alpha-1}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_k^{\beta}(t-s)^{\alpha})e_k(x)(\sigma_k(s)-\sigma_k^n(s))d\xi_k(s)\right)^2dx\\=&\int_0^1\int_0^t(t-s)^{2\alpha-2}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_k^{\beta}(t-s)^{\alpha})e_k(x)(\sigma_k(s)-\sigma_k^n(s))\right)^2dsdx.\end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 2.1, in view of $1<\alpha<2$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{E}\|\mathcal{G}_1\|^2 &\le \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(\eta_k^n)^2\int_0^t (t-s)^{2\alpha-2}E_{\alpha,\alpha}^2(-\lambda_k^\beta(t-s)^\alpha)ds\\ &\le C\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\lambda_k^{-\frac{2\beta(\alpha-1)}{\alpha}}(\eta_k^n)^2\int_0^t\left|\frac{(\lambda_k^\beta(t-s)^\alpha)^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}}}{1+\lambda_k^\beta(t-s)^\alpha}\right|^2 ds\\ &\le C\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\lambda_k^{-\frac{2\beta(\alpha-1)}{\alpha}}(\eta_k^n)^2,\end{aligned}\tag{5.12}$$

where we have used $\left|\frac{(\lambda_k^\beta(t-s)^\alpha)^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}}}{1+\lambda_k^\beta(t-s)^\alpha}\right|^2\le C$.

For $\mathcal{G}_2$, since $\{e_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ is an orthonormal basis in $L^2(0,1)$, then by (5.6)-(5.7) and (5.9), we have

(5.13)

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{E}\|\mathcal{G}_2\|^2 &= \mathbf{E}\int_0^1\left(\int_0^t(t-s)^{\alpha-1}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\int_0^1 E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_k^\beta(t-s)^\alpha)e_k(y)e_k(x)d\hat{W}_n(s,y)\right.\\ &\quad\left.-\int_0^t(t-s)^{\alpha-1}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\int_0^1 E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_k^\beta(t-s)^\alpha)e_k(y)e_k(x)dW_n(s,y)\right)^2dx\\ &= \mathbf{E}\int_0^1\left(\int_0^t(t-s)^{\alpha-1}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_k^\beta(t-s)^\alpha)e_k(x)\sigma_k^n(s)\right.\\ &\qquad\left.\times\left(\dot{\xi}_k(s)-\sum_{i=1}^{I_t}\frac{1}{\sqrt{\Delta t}}\xi_{ki}\chi_i(s)\right)ds\right)^2dx\\ &= \int_0^1\mathbf{E}\left(\sum_{l=1}^{I_t}\int_{t_l}^{t_{l+1}}\left((t-s)^{\alpha-1}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_k^\beta(t-s)^\alpha)e_k(x)\sigma_k^n(s)\right.\right.\\ &\quad\left.\left.-\frac{1}{\Delta t}\int_{t_l}^{t_{l+1}}(t-\tilde{s})^{\alpha-1}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_k^\beta(t-\tilde{s})^\alpha)e_k(x)\sigma_k^n(\tilde{s})d\tilde{s}\right)d\xi_k(s)\right)^2dx.\end{aligned}$$

Using the Itô isometry, we get

(5.14)

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{E}\|\mathcal{G}_2\|^2 &= \int_0^1 \sum_{l=1}^{I_t} \int_{t_l}^{t_{l+1}} \bigg((t-s)^{\alpha-1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_k^\beta (t-s)^\alpha) e_k(x) \sigma_k^n(s) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\Delta t} \int_{t_l}^{t_{l+1}} (t-\tilde{s})^{\alpha-1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_k^\beta (t-\tilde{s})^\alpha) e_k(x) \sigma_k^n(\tilde{s}) d\tilde{s} \bigg)^2 ds dx \\ &= \int_0^1 \sum_{l=1}^{I_t} \frac{1}{(\Delta t)^2} \int_{t_l}^{t_{l+1}} \bigg(\int_{t_l}^{t_{l+1}} (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_k^\beta (t-s)^\alpha) e_k(x) \\ &\quad \times (\sigma_k^n(s) - \sigma_k^n(\tilde{s})) d\tilde{s} + \int_{t_l}^{t_{l+1}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigg((t-s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_k^\beta (t-s)^\alpha) \\ &\quad - (t-\tilde{s})^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_k^\beta (t-\tilde{s})^\alpha) \bigg) e_k(x) \sigma_k^n(\tilde{s}) d\tilde{s} \bigg)^2 ds dx.\end{aligned}$$

By Lagrange's mean value theorem, we have

(5.15)

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{E}\|\mathcal{G}_2\|^2 &= \int_0^1 \sum_{l=1}^{I_t} \frac{1}{(\Delta t)^2} \int_{t_l}^{t_{l+1}} \bigg(\int_{t_l}^{t_{l+1}} (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_k^\beta (t-s)^\alpha) \\ &\quad \times e_k(x) (\sigma_k^n)'(\zeta_l)(s-\tilde{s}) d\tilde{s} \bigg)^2 ds dx \\ &\quad + \int_0^1 \sum_{l=1}^{I_t} \frac{1}{(\Delta t)^2} \int_{t_l}^{t_{l+1}} \bigg(\int_{t_l}^{t_{l+1}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigg((t-s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_k^\beta (t-s)^\alpha) \\ &\quad - (t-\tilde{s})^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_k^\beta (t-\tilde{s})^\alpha) \bigg) e_k(x) \sigma_k^n(\tilde{s}) d\tilde{s} \bigg)^2 ds dx \\ &:= \mathcal{I}_1 + \mathcal{I}_2,\end{aligned}$$

where ζ_l is between s and $\tilde{s}$.

Note that $\{e_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is an orthonormal basis in $L^2(0,1)$. For $\mathcal{I}_1$, using the smoothness assumption on $\sigma_k^n(t)$, then it follows from Lemma 2.1 that

(5.16)

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{I}_1 &\le \int_0^1 \sum_{l=1}^{I_t} \frac{1}{(\Delta t)^2} \int_{t_l}^{t_{l+1}} \bigg((t-s)^{\alpha-1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_k^\beta (t-s)^\alpha) e_k(x) \gamma_k^n (\Delta t)^2 \bigg)^2 ds dx \\ &\le (\Delta t)^2 \sum_{l=1}^{I_t} \int_{t_l}^{t_{l+1}} (t-s)^{2\alpha-2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} E_{\alpha,\alpha}^2(-\lambda_k^\beta (t-s)^\alpha) (\gamma_k^n)^2 ds \\ &\le C(\Delta t)^2 \int_0^t \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k^{-\frac{2\beta(\alpha-1)}{\alpha}} (\gamma_k^n)^2 \bigg| \frac{(\lambda_k^\beta (t-s)^\alpha)^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}}}{1+\lambda_k^\beta (t-s)^\alpha} \bigg|^2 ds \\ &\le C(\Delta t)^2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k^{-\frac{2\beta(\alpha-1)}{\alpha}} (\gamma_k^n)^2,\end{aligned}$$

where we have used $\frac{(\lambda_k^\beta (t-s)^\alpha)^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}}}{1+\lambda_k^\beta (t-s)^\alpha} \le C$.

For $\mathcal{I}_2$, we need the following equality (see [29, (1.82)])

$$\frac{d}{d\tau}(t-\tau)^{\alpha-1}E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_k^\beta(t-\tau)^\alpha) = -(t-\tau)^{\alpha-2}E_{\alpha,\alpha-1}(-\lambda_k^\beta(t-\tau)^\alpha).$$

By Lemma 2.1, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}&(t-s)^{\alpha-1}E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_k^\beta(t-s)^\alpha) - (t-\tilde{s})^{\alpha-1}E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_k^\beta(t-\tilde{s})^\alpha)\\ &\quad = \int_{\tilde{s}}^s (t-\tau)^{\alpha-2}(-E_{\alpha,\alpha-1}(-\lambda_k^\beta(t-\tau)^\alpha))d\tau \le C\int_{\tilde{s}}^s (t-\tau)^{\alpha-2}d\tau := \mathcal{I}_2^1\end{aligned}$$

Note that $a^\theta - b^\theta \le (a-b)^\theta$ for $a > b > 0$ and $0 < \theta < 1$. Let $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}$ be given arbitrary. For $1 < \alpha \le \dfrac{3}{2}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{I}_2^1 &= C\int_{\tilde{s}}^s (t-\tau)^{-\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}(t-\tau)^{\alpha-\frac{3}{2}-\varepsilon}d\tau\\ &\le C(t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}\int_{\tilde{s}}^s (t-\tau)^{\alpha-\frac{3}{2}-\varepsilon}d\tau \le C(t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}(\Delta t)^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}.\end{aligned} \tag{5.17}$$

Note that $\{e_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ is an orthonormal basis in $L^2(0,1)$. For $\mathcal{I}_2$, by the assumption on $\sigma_k^n(t)$ and (5.17), we get

(5.18)

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{I}_2 &\le C\int_0^1 \sum_{l=1}^{I_t}\frac{1}{(\Delta t)^2}\int_{t_l}^{t_{l+1}}\bigg(\int_{t_l}^{t_{l+1}}\sum_{k=1}^\infty (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}(\Delta t)^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}e_k(x)\mu_k^n d\tilde{s}\bigg)^2 dsdx\\ &\le C\int_0^1\int_0^t (t-s)^{-1+2\varepsilon}(\Delta t)^{2\alpha-1-2\varepsilon}\left(\sum_{k=1}^\infty e_k(x)\mu_k^n\right)^2 dsdx\\ &\le C(\Delta t)^{2\alpha-1-2\varepsilon}\sum_{k=1}^\infty(\mu_k^n)^2\int_0^t (t-s)^{-1+2\varepsilon}ds\\ &\le Ct^{2\varepsilon}(\Delta t)^{2\alpha-1-2\varepsilon}\sum_{k=1}^\infty(\mu_k^n)^2.\end{aligned}$$

For $\frac{3}{2} < \alpha < 2$, we have $\mathcal{I}_2^1 \le C(t-s)^{\alpha-2}\Delta t$. Arguing as in the argument of (5.18), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{I}_2 &\le C\int_0^1 \sum_{l=1}^{I_t}\frac{1}{(\Delta t)^2}\int_{t_l}^{t_{l+1}}\bigg(\int_{t_l}^{t_{l+1}}\sum_{k=1}^\infty (t-s)^{\alpha-2}\Delta t e_k(x)\mu_k^n d\tilde{s}\bigg)^2 dsdx\\ &\le C(\Delta t)^2\int_0^1\int_0^t (t-s)^{2\alpha-4}\left(\sum_{k=1}^\infty e_k(x)\mu_k^n\right)^2 dsdx\\ &\le C(\Delta t)^2\sum_{k=1}^\infty(\mu_k^n)^2\int_0^t (t-s)^{2\alpha-4}ds \le Ct^{2\alpha-3}(\Delta t)^2\sum_{k=1}^\infty(\mu_k^n)^2.\end{aligned} \tag{5.19}$$

For $1 < \alpha \le \frac{3}{2}$, by (5.10), (5.12), (5.15), (5.16) and (5.18), we conclude that for any $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}$,

$$\mathbf{E}\|u(t) - u_n(t)\|^2 \le C\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k^{-\frac{2\beta(\alpha-1)}{\alpha}} (\eta_k^n)^2 + C(\Delta t)^2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k^{-\frac{2\beta(\alpha-1)}{\alpha}} (\gamma_k^n)^2 + Ct^{2\varepsilon}(\Delta t)^{2\alpha-1-2\varepsilon} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\mu_k^n)^2, \quad t > 0;$$

and for $\frac{3}{2} < \alpha < 2$, we obtain from (5.10), (5.12), (5.15), (5.16) and (5.19) that

$$\mathbf{E}\|u(t) - u_n(t)\|^2 \le C\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k^{-\frac{2\beta(\alpha-1)}{\alpha}} (\eta_k^n)^2 + C(\Delta t)^2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k^{-\frac{2\beta(\alpha-1)}{\alpha}} (\gamma_k^n)^2 + Ct^{2\alpha-3}(\Delta t)^2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\mu_k^n)^2, \quad t > 0.$$

The proof is completed. □

Proof of Lemma 3.5. By Lemmas 2.1 and 2.4, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^1 \mathcal{S}_{\alpha,\beta}^h(t,x,y)\psi(y)dy \right|_{p,h}^2 &= \sum_{k=1}^{N} (\lambda_k^{h,\beta})^{\frac{p}{\beta}} t^{2\alpha-2} |E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_k^{h,\beta} t^\alpha)|^2 (\psi, e_k^h)^2 \\ &\le t^{\frac{\alpha(q-p)}{\beta}-2+2\alpha} \max_k \frac{C\left(\lambda_k^{h,\beta} t^\alpha\right)^{\frac{p-q}{\beta}}}{(1+\lambda_k^{h,\beta} t^\alpha)^2} \sum_{k=1}^{N} (\lambda_k^{h,\beta})^{\frac{q}{\beta}} (\psi, e_k^h)^2 \\ &\le Ct^{\frac{\alpha(q-p)}{\beta}-2+2\alpha} |\psi|_{q,h}^2, \end{aligned} \tag{5.20}$$

where we have used $\frac{\left(\lambda_k^{h,\beta} t^\alpha\right)^{\frac{p-q}{\beta}}}{(1+\lambda_k^{h,\beta} t^\alpha)^2} \le C$ for $p - 2\beta \le q \le p$.

For $q > p$, since $\{\lambda_k^{h,\beta}\}$ are bounded away from zero independent of the mesh size h, we deduce from Lemmas 2.1 and 2.4 that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^1 \mathcal{S}_{\alpha,\beta}^h(t,x,y)\psi(y)dy \right|_{p,h}^2 &\le t^{2\alpha-2} \max_k \frac{C}{(1+\lambda_k^{h,\beta} t^\alpha)^2 (\lambda_k^{h,\beta})^{\frac{q-p}{\beta}}} \sum_{k=1}^{N} (\lambda_k^{h,\beta})^{\frac{q}{\beta}} (\psi, e_k^h)^2 \\ &\le Ct^{-2} |\psi|_{q,h}^2. \end{aligned} \tag{5.21}$$

Thus, we complete the proof of Lemma 3.5. □

References

1. O.P. Agrawal, Solution for a fractional diffusion-wave equation defined in a bounded domain, Nonlinear Dynam. **29** (2002) 145–155
2. S.N. Armstrong, P. Cardaliaguet, P.E. Souganidis, Error estimates and convergence rates for the stochastic homogenization of Hamilton-Jacobi equations, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **27** (2014) 479–540
3. I. Babuška, R. Tempone, G.E. Zouraris, Galerkin finite element approximations of stochastic elliptic partial differential equations, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. **42** (2004) 800–825
4. A.H. Bhrawy, E.H. Doha, D. Baleanu, S.S. Ezz-Eldien, A spectral tau algorithm based on Jacobi operational matrix for numerical solution of time fractional diffusion-wave equations, J. Comput. Phys. **293** (2015) 142–156

5. J.P. Bouchaud, A. Georges, Anomalous diffusion in disordered media: Statistical mechanisms, models and physical applications, Phys. Rep. **195** (1990) 127–293
6. S.C. Brenner, L.R. Scott, The Mathematical Theory of Finite Element Methods, 3rd ed., Springer-Verlag, New York (2008)
7. P. Caithamer, The stochastic wave equation driven by fractional Brownian noise and temporally correlated smooth noise, Stoch. Dyn. **5** (2005) 45–64
8. G.G. Chen, J.Q. Duan, J. Zhang, Approximating dynamics of a singularly perturbed stochastic wave equation with a random dynamical boundary condition, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **45** (2013) 2790–2814
9. W. Chen, S. Holm, Fractional Laplacian time-space models for linear and nonlinear lossy media exhibiting arbitrary frequency power-law dependency, J. Acoust. Soc. Am. **115** (2004) 1424–1430
10. W.H. Deng, Finite element method for the space and time fractional Fokker-Planck equation, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. **47** (2008) 204–226
11. Q. Du, T.Y. Zhang, Numerical approximation of some linear stochastic partial differential equations driven by special additive noises, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. **40** (2002) 1421–1445
12. I. Eliazar, J. Klafter, Anomalous is ubiquitous, Ann. Phys. **326** (2011) 2517–2531
13. A. Esen, Y. Ucar, N.M. Yagmurlu, O. Tasbozan, A Galerkin finite element method to solve fractional diffusion and fractional diffusion-wave equations, Math. Model. Anal. **18** (2013) 260–273
14. R. Garrappa, The Mittag-Leffler function, MATLAB Central File Exchange, 2014-2015. File ID: 48154.
15. Y.Z. Hu, S.G. Peng, Backward stochastic differential equation driven by fractional brownian motion, SIAM J. Control Optim. **48** (2009) 1675–1700
16. B.T. Jin, R. Lazarov, J. Pasciak, W. Rundell, Variational formulation of problems involving fractional order differential operators, Math. Comp. **84** (2015) 2665–2700
17. B.T. Jin, R. Lazarov, Z. Zhou, Error estimates for a semidiscrete finite element method for fractional order parabolic equations, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. **51** (2013) 445–466
18. B.T. Jin, R. Lazarov, Z. Zhou, Two fully discrete schemes for fractional diffusion and diffusion-wave equations with nonsmooth data, SIAM J. Sci. Comput. **38** (2016) A146–A170
19. A.A. Kilbas, H.M. Srivastava, J.J. Trujillo, Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations, in: North-Holland Mathematics Studies, Vol. 204, Elsevier Science B.V., Amsterdam (2006)
20. P.E. Kloeden, T. Lorenz, Mean-square random dynamical systems, J. Differential Equations **253** (2012) 1422-1438
21. M. Kovács, S. Larsson, A. Mesforush, Finite element approximation of the Cahn-Hilliard-Cook equation, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. **49** (2011) 2407–2429
22. M. Kovács, J. Printems, Strong order of convergence of a fully discrete approximation of a linear stochastic Volterra type evolution equation, Math. Comp. **83** (2014) 2325–2346
23. G.L. Kulinich, S.V. Kushnirenko, Yu.S. Mishura, Asymptotic behavior of the martingale type integral functionals for unstable solutions to stochastic differential equations, Theory Probab. Math. Statist. **90** (2015) 115–126
24. F. Mainardi, On the initial value problem for the fractional diffusion-wave equation, in: S. Rionero, T. Ruggeri (Eds.), Waves and Stability in Continuous Media, World Scientific, Singapore (1994) 246–251
25. F. Mainardi, The fundamental solutions for the fractional diffusion-wave equation, Appl. Math. Lett. **9** (1996) 23–28
26. M.M. Meerschaert, R.L. Schilling, A. Sikorskii, Stochastic solutions for fractional wave equations, Nonlinear Dynam. **80** (2015) 1685–1695
27. G.N. Milstein, M.V. Tretyakov, Solving parabolic stochastic partial differential equations via averaging over characteristics, Math. Comp. **78** (2009) 2075–2106
28. R.H. Nochetto, E. Otárola, A.J. Salgado, A PDE approach to fractional diffusion in general domains: A priori error analysis, Found. Comput. Math. **15** (2015) 733–791
29. I. Podlubny, Fractional Differential Equations, vol. 198 of Mathematics in Science and Engineering, Academic Press, San Diego, California, USA (1999)
30. K. Sakamoto, M. Yamamoto, Initial value/boundary value problems for fractional diffusion-wave equations and applications to some inverse problems, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **382** (2011) 426–447

31. L. Quer-Sardanyons, S. Tindel, The 1-d stochastic wave equation driven by a fractional Brownian sheet, Stochastic Process. Appl. **117** (2007) 1448–1472
32. T.H. Solomon, E.R. Weeks, H.L. Swinney, Observation of anomalous diffusion and Lévy flights in a two-dimensional rotating flow, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71** (1993) 3975–3978
33. Z.Z. Sun, X.N. Wu, A fully discrete difference scheme for a diffusion-wave system, Appl. Numer. Math. **56** (2006) 193–209
34. T.L. Szabo, Time domain wave equations for lossy media obeying a frequency power law, J. Acoust. Soc. Am. **96** (1994) 491–500
35. V. Thomée, Galerkin Finite Element Methods for Parabolic Problems, 2nd ed., Springer-Verlag, Berlin (2006)
36. Y.B. Yan, Galerkin finite element methods for stochastic parabolic partial differential equations, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. **43** (2005) 1363–1384
37. F.H. Zeng, F.W. Liu, C.P. Li, K. Burrage, I. Turner, V. Anh, A Crank-Nicolson ADI spectral method for a two-dimensional Riesz space fractional nonlinear reaction-diffusion equation, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. **52** (2014) 2599–2622
38. Y.N. Zhang, Z.Z. Sun, X. Zhao, Compact alternating direction implicit scheme for the two-dimensional fractional diffusion-wave equation, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. **50** (2012) 1535–1555

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Gansu Key Laboratory of Applied Mathematics and Complex Systems, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, P.R. China
E-mail address: liyajing11@lzu.edu.cn

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Gansu Key Laboratory of Applied Mathematics and Complex Systems, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, P.R. China
E-mail address: wangyj@lzu.edu.cn

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Gansu Key Laboratory of Applied Mathematics and Complex Systems, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, P.R. China
E-mail address: dengwh@lzu.edu.cn